IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE JURISDICTION

(1) WRIT PETITION NO.1632 OF 2005

Shri.Motiram Madhu Sahakari
Maryadit Balajinagar, Bhosari ..Petitioner
Vs.
The District Collector,
Pune and Ors. ..Respondents

....
Mr.A.V.Anturkar with Mrs.Vinita V.Bakre-Shastry
for Petitioner
Mr.A.A.Palekar AGP for Respondents
....

WITH
(2) WRIT PETITION NO.1676 OF 2005

Shri.Subhash Rangappa Deokar ..Petitioner
Vs.
The District Collector,
Pune and Ors. ..Respondents

....
Mr.A.V.Anturkar with Mrs.Vinita V.Bakre-Shastry
for Petitioner
Mr.A.A.Palekar AGP for Respondents
....

WITH
(3) WRIT PETITION NO.1677 OF 2005

Shri.Mohammad Hussain Shaikh ..Petitioner
Vs.
The District Collector,
Pune and Ors. ..Respondents

....
Mr.A.V.Anturkar with Mrs.Vinita V.Bakre-Shastry
for Petitioner
Mr.A.A.Palekar AGP for Respondents
....

CORAM : B.H.MARLAPALLE,J.
DATE : MARCH 29,2005

P.C.:

1. Heard Mr.Anturkar, the learned counsel for the

petitioners and Mr.Palekar, the learned A.G.P. for the Respondent-State authorities. The grievance/issues raised in these petitions are common and therefore, they are being disposed of by a common order.

2. It has been pointed out by Mr.Anturkar that similar issues were raised before this Court in W.P. 121 of 2005 and the same was decided by an order dated 25.1.2005. He therefore, prays to dispose of these petitions in terms of the same directions.

3. Hence, for the reasons set out in the order dated 25.1.2005 passed in W.P. No. 121 of 2005, the petitions are disposed of in terms of the following directions:

. The Respondent No.2 to refer the dispute as set out in the judgment to Respondent No.1 for the decision of the Respondent No.1. Respondent No.1 to decide the dispute after giving an opportunity to the Petitioners, at any rate, within three months from today and in the meanwhile, Respondents not to act on the demand.

[B.H.MARLAPALLE,J.]